

Legislative Council Staff

Nonpartisan Services for Colorado's Legislature

Revised Fiscal Note

(replaces fiscal note dated April 13, 2022)

Drafting Number: LLS 22-0764 **Date:** April 25, 2022

Prime Sponsors: Sen. Lee; Gardner Rep. Weissman Bill Status: Senate Appropriations Fiscal Analyst: Will Clark | 303-866-4720

Will.Clark@state.co.us

Bill Topic: COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE Summary of **Fiscal Impact:** □ Local Government State Transfer
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■
 ■ ☐ Statutory Public Entity The bill establishes the Office of Judicial Discipline and creates the Legislative Interim Committee on Judicial Discipline. Starting in FY 2022-23, the bill increases state expenditures on an ongoing basis. For FY 2022-23, the bill requires an appropriation of \$796,901 to the multiple state **Appropriation** Summary: agencies. **Fiscal Note** This revised fiscal note reflects the introduced bill, as amended by the Senate Judiciary Status: Committee.

Table 1 State Fiscal Impacts Under SB 22-201

		Budget Year	Out Year
		FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24
Revenue		-	-
Expenditures	General Fund	\$53,463	-
	Cash Funds	\$659,820	at least \$629,020
	Centrally Appropriated	\$92,360	\$83,618
	Total Expenditures	\$805,643	\$712,638
	Total FTE	5.0 FTE	4.5 FTE
Transfers ¹	General Fund	(\$743,438)	at least (\$712,638)
	Cash Funds	\$743,438	\$712,638
	Net Transfer	\$0	\$0
Other Budget Impacts	General Fund Reserve	\$106,993	\$94,353

¹ This transfer is made through an appropriation of General Fund to a cash fund. While the bill specifies a \$400,000 transfer, the fiscal note assumes the General Assembly will transfer the amounts required to cover the costs of the Office of Judicial Discipline, including centrally appropriated costs. Out year costs will include office space, which has not been estimated.

Summary of Legislation

The bill establishes the Office of Judicial Discipline (OJD) as an independent office in the Judicial Department and creates the Legislative Interim Committee on Judicial Discipline.

Office of Judicial Discipline. The OJD is created to investigate and address allegations of misconduct against justices and judges in the Judicial Department. As part of its duties, the OJD must staff and support the operations of the Colorado Commission on Judicial Discipline (CCJD), including assisting with preparation of its budget and an annual report of its activities. The OJD is also required to receive requests for evaluation of judges and justices, conduct public outreach concerning the judicial discipline process and recommendations from the CCJD, and provide related education information.

The bill also:

- provides commissioners on the CCJD with legal immunity in carrying out their official duties;
- directs the Judicial Department to provide the OJD and CCJD with office space and administrative
 and technological support through June 30, 2023, at which point the OJD and CCJD will be
 responsible for finding alternatives to these services;
- establishes requirements for confidential information sharing between the CCJD and judicial oversight agencies, including disciplinary records of a judge or justice;
- requires complaints from Judicial Department staff, volunteers, and contractors alleging misconduct of a justice or judge be documented for as long as the subject of the complaint is a justice or judge, plus three years, along with the department's handling of the complaint;
- requires the Judicial Department to notify external complainants about the CCJD and provide the commission's contact information, and forward written or electronic materials received from the complainant to the CCJD;
- requires the CCJD to gather and maintain annual data and statistics about judicial complaints and investigations related to judicial misconduct, and to report these annually during its annual SMART Act hearings; and
- creates the continuously appropriated Commission on Judicial Discipline Special Cash Fund, appropriates \$400,000 to the fund in FY 2022-23, and requires the General Assembly to make annual appropriations so that the fund begins each fiscal year with at least \$400,000.

Legislative Interim Committee on Judicial Discipline. The bill creates a legislative interim committee that must meet during the interim between the 2022 and 2023 legislative sessions to study the effectiveness of Colorado's system of judicial discipline and related best practices.

Background

The CCJD is composed of 10 uncompensated members, including two district court judges, two county court judges, two attorneys, and four non-lawyer/non-judge citizens. The judicial members of the CCJD are selected by the Colorado Supreme Court. The attorney and other members of the CCJD are selected by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. The CCJD is authorized to discipline and remove judges for willful misconduct in office; willful or persistent failure to perform duties; intemperance; and violation of the Colorado Code of Judicial Conduct.

In 2020, the CCJD processed 199 requests for evaluation of judicial conduct. Of those, two cases resulted in public discipline, three cases resulted in private sanctions, and two cases resulted in dismissal with concerns. In 2021, the CCJD experienced similar case volumes with two cases resulting in public discipline, one case resulting in private sanctions, and four cases dismissed with concerns.

State Revenue

Starting in FY 2022-23, state cash fund revenue will increase to the extent that expenses, attorney fees and other costs are recovered pursuant to the operations of the OJD. Some of this revenue is subject to TABOR.

State Transfers

Beginning in FY 2022-23, the bill annually transfers at least \$400,000 from the General Fund to the Commission on Judicial Discipline Special Cash Fund. The fiscal note assumes that the bill requires a transfer of \$743,438 in FY 2022-23 and \$712,638 in FY 2023-24 and ongoing.

State Expenditures

The bill increases state cash fund expenditures in the Judicial Department by \$659,820 in FY 2022-23 and at least \$629,020 in FY 2023-24 from the Commission on Judicial Discipline Special Cash Fund. It increases state General Fund expenditures in the Legislative Department by \$53,463 in FY 2022-23 only. Costs are detailed below and shown in Table 2.

Office of Judicial Discipline—**Judicial Department.** The Judicial Department will incur costs for staff, outreach, and training to create the new Office of Judicial Discipline.

- *Staff.* The OJD will require 4.0 FTE executive director, assistant, attorney, and investigator staff to investigate and address allegations of misconduct against judges and justices in the Judicial Department. The executive director is required to be paid the same salary as a district judge. Standard operating and capital outlay costs are included.
- *Record digitization*. The bill requires one-time digitization costs of \$6,000 in FY 2022-23 to digitize historical CCJD records from microfilm.
- Office space. The Judicial Department must provide the OJD with office space, as well as
 technological and administrative support through June 30, 2023. As the OJD transitions to a new
 source for these services, it will require additional funding for all of its operational needs, which
 will be requested through the annual budget process.
- *Travel, training, and outreach.* The bill requires the new OJD to conduct public outreach concerning the judicial discipline process and recommendations from the CCJD, and provide related education information and training throughout the state. The fiscal note assumes the office will require \$50,000 for these purposes annually, including informational and educational materials, and making materials available on the web.

• Legal services. The OJD will require 900 hours of legal services in FY 2022-23 and FY 2023-24 for general counsel and advice on implementation, administration and ongoing development of office processes. Legal services are provided by the Department of Law at a rate of \$98.57 per hour. This general counsel will reduce to 450 hours in FY 2024-25 and out years.

Table 2 Expenditures Under SB 22-201

Cost Components	FY 2022-23	FY 2023-24
Office of Judicial Discipline		
Personal Services	\$484,907	\$484,907
Operating Expenses	\$9,800	\$3,800
Capital Outlay Costs	\$26,400	\$1,600
Record Digitization	\$6,000	-
Office Space	-	not determined
Travel, Training and Outreach	\$50,000	\$50,000
Legal Services	\$88,713	\$88,713
Centrally Appropriated Costs ¹	\$83,618	\$83,618
FTE – Personal Services	4.0 FTE	4.0 FTE
FTE – Legal Services	0.5 FTE	0.5 FTE
OJD Subtotal	\$743,438	\$712,638
Legislative Department		
Personal Services	\$37,156	-
Operating Expenses	\$675	-
Capital Outlay Costs	\$6,200	-
Member Travel and Per Diem	\$9,433	-
Centrally Appropriated Costs ¹	\$8,742	-
FTE – Personal Services	0.5 FTE	-
Legislative Subtotal	\$62,205	-
Total	\$805,643	at least \$712,638
Total FTE	5.0 FTE	4.5 FTE

¹ Centrally appropriated costs are not included in the bill's appropriation.

Judicial Department—complaints, centralized support and disciplinary rule changes. The bill requires the Judicial Department to document and share complaints of misconduct concerning judges and justices with the OJD within 35 days of receiving a complaint from Judicial Department staff. There are existing Judicial Department processes that align with most requirements in the bill, therefore the fiscal note assumes any increased workload can be accomplished using existing appropriations. If additional resources are required to comply with these requirements, they will be requested through the annual budget process.

The bill requires the Judicial Department to provide accounting, human resources, and IT support to the OJD until June 30, 2023 as it transitions to other sources for these services. This support can be accomplished using existing appropriations.

When the Supreme Court adopts rules, guidelines, and procedures related to judicial discipline, they must provide the OJD with an opportunity to object and engage in efforts to resolve any differences. The Judicial Department must post each new rule, guideline and procedure on their website, allow for public comment and include an opportunity for the public to address the Supreme Court. These costs are indeterminate, and the fiscal note assumes the Judicial Department will address any additional costs through the annual budget process as needed.

Legislative Department. The standard costs for Legislative Council Staff and the Office of Legislative Legal Services to staff an interim committee includes 0.3 FTE of a researcher, 0.1 FTE of an attorney, and 0.1 FTE of a legislative editor. Standard operating and capital outlay costs are included. Because staff are part-time, the General Fund pay date shift has not been applied.

• *Member reimbursements*. Reimbursement for judicial discipline committee members assumes 5 meetings with per diem of \$111.82 and \$124.00 travel for 8 legislative members.

Centrally appropriated costs. Pursuant to a Joint Budget Committee policy, certain costs associated with this bill are addressed through the annual budget process and centrally appropriated in the Long Bill or supplemental appropriations bills, rather than in this bill. These costs, which include employee insurance and supplemental employee retirement payments, are shown in Table 2.

Other Budget Impacts

General Fund reserve. Under current law, an amount equal to 15 percent of General Fund appropriations must be set aside in the General Fund statutory reserve beginning in FY 2022-23. Based on this fiscal note, the bill is expected to increase the amount of General Fund held in reserve by the amounts shown in Table 1, which will decrease the amount of General Fund available for other purposes.

Effective Date

The bill takes effect upon signature of the Governor, or upon becoming law without his signature.

State Appropriations

For FY 2022-23, the bill requires the following General Fund appropriations:

- \$743,438 to the General Fund to the Commission on Judicial Discipline Special Cash Fund, including \$659,820 in OJD costs and \$83,618 in centrally appropriated costs; and
- \$53,463 to the Legislative Department with 0.5 FTE, of which \$24,456 is to Legislative Council Staff, \$19,574 is to the Office of Legislative Legal Services, and \$9,433 is to the General Assembly.

Page 6 April 25, 2022

In addition, the bill continuously appropriates money from the Commission on Judicial Discipline Special Cash Fund to the Commission on Judicial Discipline, therefore no appropriation is required.

State and Local Government Contacts

Counties Information Technology Law Office of Legislative Legal Services Treasury District Attorneys Judicial Legislative Council Staff State Auditor